

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALBERTO ANGEL CORONEL,

Defendant.

**4:16CR3002**

**ORDER**

Defendant has moved to continue the pretrial motion deadline, ([filing no. 27](#)), because the defendant and defense counsel need additional time to meet and discuss the case fully before deciding if pretrial motions should be filed. The motion to continue is unopposed. Based on the showing set forth in the motion, the court finds the motion should be granted.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant's motion to continue, ([filing no. 27](#)), is granted.
- 2) Pretrial motions and briefs shall be filed on or before March 7, 2016.
- 3) Trial of this case is continued pending resolution of any pretrial motions filed.
- 4) The ends of justice served by granting the motion to continue outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and the additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, the time between today's date and March 7, 2016, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, because despite counsel's due diligence, additional time is needed to adequately prepare this case for trial and failing to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

Dated this 25th day of February, 2016

BY THE COURT:

s/ Cheryl R. Zwart  
United States Magistrate Judge